#### Discovering Distant RR Lyrae Stars in the Milky Way Halo with Sparsely Sampled Photometry Data Yuting Feng (UCSC), Raja GuhaThakurta (UCSC)

Eric Peng (PKU), Emily Cunningham(Flatiron)

Laura Ferrarese, Patrick Côté, Stephen Gwyn, Jean-Charles Cuillandre, and the NGVS team

# The Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey



# Scientific Motivation

- Why study the distant halo?
- Why choose the RR Lyrae?

# Why Stellar Halo?



Bullock & Johnston, 2005

## "Galaxy Renaissance"

#### Motions of 7,000,000 Gaia stars



Belokurov et al. 2018



Helmi et al. 2018. Map of tentative stellar members of Gaia sausage.

< 10 kpc. In-situ

## MW Halo: yet to be observed.....



Splashback Radius ~ 0.8 R\_200m

 $\sim 300 \; \rm kpc$ 

Pillepich et al. 2014

Slope of stellar density profile could serve as a probe of the MW halo mass, accreted mass fraction, and last merger time

# RR Lyrae





# Light Curve Characteristics



RRab pulsation model, showing two temperature isosurfaces at  $10,000~\mathrm{K}$  and  $30,000~\mathrm{K}$ 

14.8 e 15 15.2 15.4 15.6 15 15.6 15.8 P = 0.5978500 d 0.5 1.5 phase 15 magnitude 15.215.4 P = 0.282422015.6 0.5 1.5 phase

RRab vs RRc, densely sampled light curve from Soszynski et al. 2011

Short period variables, pulsate in specific pattern

Geroux et al. 2012

## Standard Candles (P-L-Z relationship)



Period-luminosity (PL) relationship of RR Lyrae in different bands (Stringer et al. 2019, calibrated with Catalina RRL in DES Y3)

BHB stars' g band absolute magnitude vs (g-r) relationship, fitted by data from 10 star clusters. Deason et al. 2013

 $\sim 5\%$  systematic distance error at 300 kpc vs

 $\sim 25\%$  systematic distance error at 50 kpc



0

From Cacciari et al. 2005. Comparison between RRab

log (Period days)

-0.2

in M3 (Oo I) and Omega Cen (Oo II)

-0.4

0

-0.6

 $\Delta \log P = 0.116[Fe/H] + 0.173$ , sigma = 0.4 (Sandage et al. 1983)

M. Fabrizio et al. 2019. All spectroscopically confirmed field RRab from literature.

0.0

-0.5

-1.0

-1.5

-2.0

-2.5 -3.0

[Fe/H]

-0.0

Statistically, RRL with longer periods are more metal-poor

# Our Data

## The Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey (NGVS)

- DECam Surveys: State-of-the-Art
- NGVS Time Domain

#### Predecessors



Sesar et al. 2017

Pan-STARRS 1 3π Survey(PS1) 43,000 RRL in ~30,000 deg^2 ~70 epochs in SDSS grizY  $5\sigma$  g depth = 22.0 RRL out to 110 kpc Covers Virgo field, 84 overlapping RRL High Cadence Transient Survey (HiTS) using **DECam** 173 RRL in ~120 deg^2 ~25 epochs in SDSS g  $5\sigma$  g depth = 23.0 RRL out to 210 kpc





Stringer et al. 2021

Dark Energy Survey 6971 RRL in ~5000 deg^2 ~30 epochs in SDSS grizY RRL out to 330 kpc

### The NGVS and the Milky Way Stellar Halo

104 deg^2 in Virgo direction ~38 epochs in MegaCam u\*g'i'z'  $10\sigma$  g depth = 24.4

CFHT Large Programme to survey the nearest galaxy cluster (2008-2013; 182 nights, PI: Laura Ferrarese)



Belokurov et al. (2006)

# Method

## for RR Lyrae identification

- Aperture Photometry
- Fitting to Empirical Light Curve Templates



Dashed: search region for variables Solid: Bright, reference non-variables for photometric calibration (Feng et al. in prep)



#### Astrometric solution & best-fit psf from Megapipe

For each exposure, calibrate to minimize the difference between the apparent magnitude and catalog magnitude of bright non-variables



Standard deviation (<=> empirical photometric uncertainty) of our g' measurements, for 400k NGVS point sources

Chi-square statistics, with our calibrated error model

$$\chi^{2}_{\nu,b} = \frac{1}{N_{b} - 1} \sum_{1}^{N_{b}} \frac{(m_{i,b} - \overline{m_{b}})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}_{i,b}}$$

 $\sigma_{i,b} = \sqrt{\sigma_{i,b,\text{Poisson}}^2 + \sigma_{sys,b}^2}$ 

### Single Epoch Photometry of NGVS



NGVS single epoch photometry is:

- 1.5 mag deeper than DES (Stringer et al. 2019)
- 2.3 mag deeper than HiTS (Medina et al. 2018)

**Template Fitting Method** 





24 RRab g band reduced and normalized templates, color-coded by their shape, from SDSS S82 data (Sesar et al. 2010).

#### Template Fitting Method: Example



Our observation spans more than 1400 days, while the true period is 0.622 day Successfully folded over 2250 pulsation cycles with only 40 measurements across 4 bands

#### Robustness Test on PS1 RRL $\,$

 $\mu_{\rm NGVS}$  vs  $\mu_{\rm PS1}$ 





NGVS RR Lyrae



RA

#### Literature Results: from 2 DECam Surveys



DES Y6 RRL, broken-power-law profile (Stringer et al. 2020)



HiTS RRL

power-law profile with n = -4.2 + -0.32 (Medina et al. 2018)



Outer Halo slope: n = -3.93 + - 0.33

starts from 40 kpc (Feng et al. in prep)

#### More Literature Results

Model	Slope	<i>R<sub>b</sub></i> (kpc)	Inner Slope	Outer Slope	Range (kpc)	Tracer Used	Paper
Broken Power Law							
		23	-2.4	-4.5	5-100	RRLs	Watkins et al. (2009)
		$27 \pm 1$	$-2.3\pm0.1$	$-4.6\substack{+0.2\\-0.1}$	1-40	BHB and BS stars	Deason et al. (2011)
		28	$-2.6\pm0.04$	$-3.8\pm0.1$	12-40	near MSTO stars	Sesar et al. (2011)
		24	$-2.8\pm0.5$	$-5.4\pm0.5$	5-60	RRLs	Zinn et al. (2014)
		20	$-2.5\pm0.4$	$-4.9\pm0.4$	10-60	F-type stars	Pila-Díez et al. (2015)
		$18 \pm 1$	$-2.1\pm0.3$	$-3.8\pm0.1$	10-80	K giants	Xue et al. (2015)
		$29.87\substack{+2.80 \\ -3.55}$	$-3.61\substack{+0.15\\-0.16}$	$-4.75\substack{+0.30\\-0.28}$	10-70	BHB stars	Das et al. (2016)



Phase

~120kpc

#### The Bailey Diagram



Feng et al. in prep

Distant halo (>100 kpc) RRL are likely from a metal poor environment.

# Spectroscopic Follow-Up...



 ${\sim}10$  nights of Keck ESI

Targeting RRab stars from 90 to 150 kpc for kinematical information

# Summary

- RR Lyrae are powerful halo tracers; we also discovered RRL out to 300 kpc like DES
- A robust estimation of the slope of stellar density in outer halo
- Distant halo RRL (over 100 kpc) are likely from metal-poor environments
- Kinematics of RRL from Keck ESI to come

