Magnetic capture and the CV formation channel for AM CVn stars

Marc van der Sluys

Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

Lev Yungelson
Institute of Astronomy, Moscow, Russia

Gijs Nelemans
Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Wild stars in the Old West II, Tucson, March 17, 2009

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Outline

1. **AM CVn stars**
   - Properties of AM CVn stars
   - Magnetic capture
2. **Models**
   - Binary-evolution models
3. **Populations**
   - CV populations
   - Ultra-compact populations
4. **Magnetic-braking**
   - Dependency on choice of MB
5. **Conclusions**

AM CVn stars

Properties

- 23 systems known
- Short orbital periods: 5–65 min
  - degenerate or semi-degenerate donor
  - low-frequency gravitational-wave sources
- Helium-dominated spectra
  - No traces of H found
  - $H/He \lesssim 10^{-5}$
- Possible donors
  - He/CO white dwarf
  - helium star
  - evolved main-sequence star

Magnetic capture



- Donor star fills Roche lobe around TAMS
- Magnetic braking on donor removes AM from orbit
- AM loss due to GWs takes over at short orbital periods
- Periods below 70–80 min possible

e.g. Pylyser & Savonije 1988,89, Podsiadlowski et al., 2002,03, van der Sluys et al., 2005a,b

Podsiadlowski et al., 2003



Podsiadlowski et al., 2003

- MB: Verbunt & Zwaan, 1981; Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss, 1983
- $M_{\rm WD}$: $0.6 - 1.0\, M_\odot$
- $M_{2,\rm i}$: $0.8 - 1.4\, M_\odot$
- $t_{\rm RLOF} \sim 7 - 11$ Gyr
- $t_{P_{\rm min}} \sim$ few Gyr
- $P_{\rm min}$ down to ~ 10 min
- $X_{\rm H} \sim 1 - 20\%$

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Binary-evolution models

- Eggleton's *TWIN* binary-evolution code (Eggleton 1971, 1972, etc., Pols et al., 1995)
- MB: Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss, 1983; $\gamma = 4$:
  - MB decreases as $\exp\left(1 - \frac{0.02}{q_{\rm conv}}\right)$ for $q_{\rm conv} \equiv \frac{M_{\rm conv}}{M_*} < 0.02$ (Podsiadlowski et al., 2002)
  - No MB if $q_{\rm conv} = 1$
- Analytic GW evolution after $P_{\rm min}$
- Mass transfer fully non-conservative
- $M_{\rm WD} = 1.0\,M_\odot$; $M_{2,i} = 0.7 - 1.5\,M_\odot$
- $P_{\rm i} \sim 0.4 - 5.5$ days; $\sim$20–40 models per $M_{2,\rm i}$

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Period evolution



$M_i = 1.0\,M_\odot$

+ start MT

× end MT

▲ P_{min}

★ t_H

○ end track

van der Sluys et al., in preparation

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Timescales



van der Sluys et al., 2005

Convective mass fraction



Interpolation of $t - \log P$ tracks



Monte-Carlo simulation



$M_i = 1.0\,M_\odot$

10^6 binaries

$0.5\,\mathrm{day} \lesssim P_i \lesssim 5.5\,\mathrm{day}$

van der Sluys et al., in preparation

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Period histogram



$M_\mathrm{i} = 1.0\,M_\odot$

10^6 binaries

$0.5\,\mathrm{day} \lesssim P_\mathrm{i} \lesssim 5.5\,\mathrm{day}$

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Combined period histogram



$M_\mathrm{i} = 0.7 - 1.5\,M_\odot$

9×10^6 initial binaries

$0.4\,\mathrm{day} \lesssim P_\mathrm{i} \lesssim 5.5\,\mathrm{day}$

4.1×10^6 CVs

van der Sluys et al., in preparation

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Ultra-compact/AM CVn population



$P < 70$ min:
174,448 sys

$X_H < 10^{-5}$:
103,900 sys

$P < 70$ min,
$X_H < 10^{-5}$:
61,713 sys

van der Sluys et al., in preparation

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Choice of magnetic-braking prescription

Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss

$$\frac{dJ_{\rm MB}}{dt} = -3.8 \times 10^{-30}\, \eta \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)\left(\frac{R}{R_\odot}\right)^4 \omega^3 \quad {\rm dyn\,cm}$$

Sills et al., 2000; Andronov et al., 2003

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dJ_{\rm MB}}{dt} &= -K\left(\frac{R}{R_\odot}\right)^{0.5}\left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{-0.5}\omega^3, & \omega \le \omega_{\rm crit}\\ &= -K\left(\frac{R}{R_\odot}\right)^{0.5}\left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{-0.5}\omega\,\omega_{\rm crit}^2, & \omega > \omega_{\rm crit}\end{aligned}$$

$$K = 2.7\times10^{47}\ {\rm g\,cm^2\,s}; \quad \omega_{\rm crit} = \omega_{{\rm crit},\odot}\frac{\tau_{{\rm to},\odot}}{\tau_{\rm to}}; \quad \omega_{{\rm crit},\odot} \approx 2.5\ {\rm day}$$

Saturated magnetic braking



NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Effect of magnetic-braking prescription



Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss; $\eta = 1.00$

Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss; $\eta = 0.25$

Andronov et al.

GW only

van der Sluys et al., in preparation

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Conclusions & to do

Conclusions

- With the magnetic-capture scenario, a relatively large number of ultra-compact CVs can be produced
- A sizable fraction of these have $X_{\mathrm{H}} < 10^{-5}$ and would be observed as AM CVn stars
- If H-poor, ultra-compact CVs would be observed as AM CVns, we would expect many H-rich systems
- A saturated magnetic-braking prescription increases the minimum period found from $\sim 10\,\mathrm{min}$ to $\sim 75\,\mathrm{min}$

To do

- Expand range of WD-accretor masses
- Convert relative numbers to absolute number of systems in the Galaxy
- Find observable distinction between He-WD channel and CV-channel AM CVn stars