

"Classical" Interferometric Arrays

Andreas Quirrenbach Landessternwarte Heidelberg



The VLT Interferometer



Optical / Infrared Interferometry Today



- Access to milliarcsecond-scale phenomena
- Perform interferometric spectroscopy
- Lots of results in stellar astrophysics
- Sensitivity sufficient for a few bright AGN
- Small number of telescopes ⇒ parametric model fits to visibilities, no images
- Sensitivity insufficient for larger samples
- Resolution insufficient for details / more distant objects

Desirable Capabilities of a Next-Generation Interferometer



- Address wide range of scientific topics ⇒ flexibility
- Observe faint objects ⇒ high sensitivity and dynamic range
- Complex objects / limited prior knowledge ⇒ imaging capability
- Access "famous" archetypical and rare objects
 ⇒ good sky coverage
- Observe time-variable phenomena ⇒ good snap-shot capability



What's Next?






What's Next?





Think BIG!



The ELSA Concept – a Strawman Interferometric Facility



- Number of telescopes: 27
- Telescope diameter: 8 m
- Maximum baseline: 10 km
- Wavelength range: 500 nm ... 20 μm (?)
- Beam transport: Single-mode fiber bundles
- Beam combination: Michelson
- Sky coverage at 600 nm: ≥ 10%
- Cost: ≈ 400 M€



ELSA Astrometry

- Astrometric error due to Kolmogorov atmosphere scales with $B^{-2/3}$
- ELSA could reach 1 μas over 15" arc
 - Sufficient to detect terrestrial planets around nearby stars
- Even better precision expected due to outer scale of atmospheric turbulence
- Precision requirements less stringent than for Keck / VLTI

ELSA Resolution: 10 μas at 500 nm, 40 μas at 2 μm




- 15,000 km at 10 pc
 - 8 pixels across Jupiter-size object
 - 80 pixels across Solar-type star
- 0.1 AU at 10 kpc
 - GR effects on stars very close to the Galactic Center
- 200 AU (1 light-day) at 20 Mpc
 - Images of AGN Broad-line regions
 - Expansion and light echoes of supernovae

Linear Resolution of ELSA in the Local Universe




11



Linear Resolution of ELSA at $\lambda = 500 \text{ nm}$



12





ELSA Science Case (Galactic)

- Weather on brown dwarfs
- Stellar surface images (spots, flares, convection, differential rotation, oscillations, ...)
- Images of interacting and accreting binaries
- Gaps and inner edge of YSO disks, jet formation
- Cores of globular clusters
- AGB stars: dust formation, winds
- Movies of novae
- Gravitational micro-lenses
- General relativity near Galactic Center

ELSA Science Case (Extragalactic)



- Stellar populations in external galaxies
 - Crowding important even on ELT scale
- Expansion and light echoes of supernovae
- Imaging of Active Galactic Nuclei
 - Dynamics of broad line regions
 - Jet formation
 - Black hole masses from stellar orbits
- Resolving gamma-ray afterglows
 - Asymmetries, relativistic beaming



AGN Science with ELSA

- Black-hole mass from stellar and gas dynamics
- Reverberation *mapping* (watch line response to continuum variations in movies) \Rightarrow physics of BLR, geometric distances
- Optical emission from milliarcsecond jets ⇒
 jet collimation, shocks, particle acceleration, ...
- Details of clumpy (?) obscuring torus ⇒ dust properties, unification schemes
- "Mirror(s)" in HBLR objects ⇒ AGN physics, unification schemes



ELSA Critical Technologies

- Telescopes
- Array co-phasing
- Beam transport
- Beam combination
- Delay compensation




ELSA Co-Phasing Concept

- Phase individual telescopes with multiple (?) LGS adaptive optics
- Off-axis fringe tracking on "bright" star
- Large aperture ⇒ good fringe tracking sensitivity ⇒ near-complete sky coverage
- Requirement: fringe tracking at K≈19
 - One of the drivers for large array elements
- Fringe-tracking chain of neighboring telescopes for bright (resolved) stars
- Fringe tracking between all telescopes for faint (unresolved) stars

Limiting Sensitivity for Fringe Tracking in the R Band






Sky Coverage at NGP for Different Maximum Off-Axis Angles




19




Array Layout Optimized for Baseline Bootstrapping





A Y-Shaped Configuration (15 Telescopes)







ELSA Telescopes

- Need to produce twenty-seven 8m telescopes for ≈ 200 M€
- Moveable for array reconfiguration if possible
- Small field-of-view
- No scientific instruments (acquisition and fiberfeeds only)
- Take advantage of ELT development
 - Mass production of mirror segments
 - Standardized structural elements



Projected Cost of Telescopes

- Typical scaling of telescope cost with diameter is $\emptyset \propto D^{2.7}$
- Scaling applies at any given time (for similar maturity of technology), not to future projection
- Example: scaling holds for Keck (10m) versus
 CHARA (1m) telescopes
- Apply scaling to ELT (e.g., European E-ELT concept): 42m for 700 M€ ⇒ 8m for 8 M€
- Proof-of-concept for ELT?




Moving Big Telescopes around ...





... is Perfectly Doable!







ELSA Beam Transport

- Fibers are much cheaper than beam tunnels
 - Diffraction + field $\Rightarrow D_{\text{opt}} = k \times \sqrt{\lambda L} + m\theta L$
- Need advances in fiber technology
 - No significant light loss over 10 km
 - Low dispersion, polarization preserving
 - Fibers for infrared wavelength range
- Need metrology to monitor fiber lengths
- Fiber bundles can handle field-of-view larger than Airy disk



ELSA Delay Compensation

- Switch between fiber segments for bulk delay compensation
 - Add appropriate fibers from set of (1m, 2m, 4m, ...)
 - Dispersion is a potential show-stopper
 - Need low-loss fiber-fiber couplers
- Fiber stretching for fine adjustment (sidereal rate plus atmosphere)
 - Fall-back is short classical delay line



ELSA Beam Combination

- Very diluted $(B/D \approx 1,000)$ for longest baselines \Rightarrow pupil plane combination preferred
 - Field-of-view radius is $R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda$ resolution elements
- Larger field-of-view desired for more compact configurations
 - Homothetic mapping (exact or densified replica of entrance pupil) to be explored




ELSA Site

- Need flat ≈ 10 km plateau
- Good seeing (r_0, τ_0, θ_0) important criterion
- Southern hemisphere preferred
- Requirements different from ELT criteria
- ALMA site probably (marginally) ok

Exceptional Astronomical Seeing at Dome C in Antarctica (?)







Potential Advantages of Dome C

- Larger $r_0 \Rightarrow \text{simpler adaptive optics}$
- Longer $\tau_0 \implies$ better sensitivity
- Larger $\theta_0 \Rightarrow$ better sky coverage
- Lower temperature \Rightarrow lower IR background
- Or same performance with smaller telescopes
 - 2m at Dome C \Leftrightarrow 8m at traditional sites?

VLTI, ALMA, ELTs, and ELSA



- ELSA has 50 times better resolution than any other facility \Rightarrow completely new science
- ELSA draws on VLTI / ALMA / ELT heritage
 - VLTI: Interferometric techniques, beam combination, ...
 - ALMA: Moveable telescopes, site (?)
 - ELTs: Cheap telescopes through mass production of optics and standardized structural elements
- ELSA could be feasible and affordable in 2015

Conclusions (1): What we Know Already



- There is a large parameter space of first-class science beyond the ELT resolution limit
- Baseline length of \approx 10 km required
- Large telescopes or superb site (Antarctica?)
 needed to get sensitivity and good sky coverage
- A powerful facility could become feasible and affordable in a decade

Conclusions (2): What we Don't Know Yet



- Can fibers be used for beam transport and delay compensation?
- Which site offers the best trade-off between quality and cost?
- Is the science case powerful enough to make it happen?

Key Technology Needs for Roadmap



- Beam transport with optical fibers
 - Dispersion is a potential show stopper
 - Cost driver \Rightarrow top priority on my list
- Beam combination concepts and integrated optics beam combiners
- Telescopes
 - Main issue is cost \Rightarrow link to ELT projects
- Site
 - Evaluate Antarctica
 - Look for good "traditional" sites